Case 1:23-cr-00145-NODJ-BAM Document 145 Filed 12/03/24 Page 1 of 3

1	Michael McKneely (State Bar No. 214896) McKNEELY LAW FIRM		
2	2300 Tulare Street, Suite 250 Fresno, California 93721		
3	Telephone: (559) 443-7442 Facsimile: (559) 860-0150		
4	mike@fresnocriminalattorney.com		
5	Attorney for Defendant ALEXANDER ALVES		
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.	1:23-CR-00145-NODJ-BAM
12	Plaintiff,		ED STIPULATION REGARDIN ABLE TIME PERIODS UNDE
13	v.		TRIAL ACT; AND ORDER
14	ALEXANDER ALVES,	Date: Time:	December 11, 2024
15	Defendant.	Judge:	1:00 pm Hon. Barbara A. McAuliffe
16			
17	<u>STIPULATION</u>		
18	The United States of America and Defendant Alexander Alves, through their		
19	respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on December 11, 2024.		
21	2. By this stipulation, the parties agree to continue the status conference		
22	until March 26, 2025 in order for continued defense preparation and settlement		
23	discussions.		
24	3. Mr. Alves requests that time	be excluded	between December 11, 2024 and
25	March 26, 2025 under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4].		
26	4. The parties agree and stipulate and request that the Court find the		
27	following:		
28	a) Defense counsel desir	res additiona	ll time to review the voluminous

MCKNEELY LAW FIRM 2300 TULARE STREET, SUITE 250 FRESNO, CALIFORNIA 93721 TELEPHONE: (559) 843-7442 FACSIMILE: (559) 860-0150

AMENDED STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

case 1:23-cr-00145-NODJ-BAM Document 145 Filed 12/03/24 Page 2 of 3

discovery with his client, investigate available defenses and legal issues, and discuss potential resolution with the United States.

- b) Defense counsel believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - c) The United States does not object to the continuance.
- d) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- e) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, *et seq.*, within which trial must commence, the time period of December 11, 2024 to March 26, 2025 inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.

IT IS SO STIPULATED

DATED: December 3, 2024 PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

By: s/ Stephanie M. Stokman by authorization
Stephanie M. Stokman
Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: December 3, 2024 McKNEELY LAW FIRM

By: s/ Michael McKneely
Michael McKneely
Attorneys for Alexander Alves

Case 1:23-cr-00145-NODJ-BAM Document 145 Filed 12/03/24 Page 3 of 3

1	<u>ORDER</u>			
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that the status conference is continued from December 11, 2024, to			
3	March 26, 2025, at 1:00 p.m. in Courtroom 8 before Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe.			
4 5	Time is excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv).			
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
7	Dated: December 3, 2024 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe			
8	Dated: December 3, 2024 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

||_